

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s44400-026-00110-x>

The distinct challenges of living with young-onset dementia



Katherine D. Brandt¹ ✉ & Bradford C. Dickerson^{1,2,3,4}

Young-onset dementia (YOD) disrupts the family system during a critical stage of life. Families affected by YOD often navigate child-rearing, work/community obligations, and caring for aging parents in the context of progressive cognitive decline in a middle-aged partner or parent. We explore four domains that define the lived experience of YOD: (1) financial strain, (2) safety challenges, (3) social isolation, and (4) systemic deficiencies within the healthcare and workplace infrastructure.

Young-onset dementia (YOD), defined as dementia with symptom onset before the age of 65, poses profound and multidimensional challenges to family systems. YOD often presents with neuropsychiatric symptoms that can have a dramatic negative impact on relationships, including behavioral and personality changes, loss of empathy, disinhibition, irritability, compulsive behaviors, apathy, aggression, psychosis, and/or difficulties with decision-making and communication¹. While dementia in later life typically co-occurs with retirement and reduced economic and family responsibilities, YOD disrupts individuals and families in midlife—often when careers, parenting, caring for aging parents, and financial obligations place numerous demands on individuals and families. With a diagnosis of YOD, the intersection of financial strain, safety concerns, caregiving demands, and social isolation creates a uniquely precarious environment.

The global prevalence and incidence of dementia among people under 65 years is increasing and was estimated at 96.1 and 17.2 per 100,000 persons in 2021². Disability adjusted life years (the sum of years lost due to premature death and years lived with a disability) are currently 46.8 per 100,000 persons, with a particular increase in countries with a high, high-middle, and middle sociodemographic index². It is possible that these are underestimates, since an average of 4.4 years elapses between initial symptoms of YOD and a diagnosis³. Furthermore, misdiagnoses occur in at least half of YOD patients, with depression being one of the most common (occurring in 30.7%)³. People with a younger age-of-symptom-onset have a longer time to first consultation and family awareness of the dementia diagnosis³. The health care system, particularly primary care, is woefully inadequate in facilitating a timely and accurate diagnosis⁴, although recent Alzheimer's Association DETeCD Clinical Practice Guideline specifically targeting primary care⁵ will hopefully support the need for additional resources for this important problem (recommendations 12–14 explicitly discuss expeditious referral of suspected YOD patients for specialist evaluation).

Here, we briefly summarize insights from our clinical practice (see Supplementary Material for details of our practice) to illuminate the distinct needs of families living with YOD, focusing on four critical domains:

financial strain, safety concerns, social isolation, and the lack of age-appropriate care and professional training. Our perspective that these domains are frequent challenges in this patient/family population, based on our clinical experience, is congruent with themes that have emerged from multiple small sample-size qualitative research studies that have been reported^{6–15}. Each section outlines the mechanisms driving hardship and proposes practice-level and policy-level solutions.

Financial strain and occupational instability

Financial strain emerges almost immediately following a diagnosis of YOD⁹. In many families, the individual with dementia is employed at the time of symptom onset¹⁰. When employment ceases, household income declines sharply—while care-related expenses surge⁶. As cognitive decline progresses, the primary caregiver, often a spouse who remains in the workforce, must work toward financing 40 or more hours per week of home supervision or paid care^{9,16}. Because peers and extended family members are themselves employed or aging, informal caregiving networks are often unavailable.

These pressures are compounded when the affected individual was the household's primary earner or the source of employer-based health insurance⁶. In the United States, Medicare eligibility gained through Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) approval provides limited relief, as coverage extends only to the diagnosed person, not to dependents. While SSDI offers an expedited approval process through the Compassionate Allowances Program for many forms of YOD, it offers only partial income replacement, and benefits are variable and contingent upon prior employment history and contributions. Certain professions, such as teaching, do not pay into Social Security, leaving families without this safety net.

Compounding these challenges is the loss of access to long-term disability and long-term care benefits⁶. As mentioned above, many individuals experience delays in diagnosis during the early symptomatic phase—receiving poor performance reviews or being terminated from employment for cognitive or behavioral lapses before a formal diagnosis is made. By the

¹Frontotemporal Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology, Boston, MA, USA. ²Department of Psychiatry, Boston, MA, USA. ³Massachusetts Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, Boston, MA, USA. ⁴Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital & Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ✉e-mail: Katherine.Brandt@mgh.harvard.edu

Box 1 | Balancing autonomy and safety in young-onset dementia

A pressing challenge facing YOD caregivers involves home safety. Many caregivers must continue working full-time yet feel deeply uneasy leaving their loved one unsupervised. Without sufficient financial resources to secure consistent in-home care, they may turn to surveillance cameras as a stopgap measure—an improvised solution that reflects both anxiety and financial constraints.

Home care services in Massachusetts cost from \$26/hour to \$40+/hour, leading caregivers to craft elaborate systems of informal supervision: preparing meals in advance, leaving written reminders, coordinating with neighbors to watch for wandering, and monitoring behavior through cameras. Once a caregiver feels compelled to rely on surveillance, the situation has already reached a critical point—the individual is no longer safely independent.

When caregivers insist their loved one remains “fine while I’m at work,” we sometimes recommend a simple but revealing test: trigger a fire alarm and observe the response. The results can be sobering. Many individuals

with cognitive decline fail to react appropriately, underscoring the illusion of safety. But with mortgages, dependent children, and escalating college costs, the prospect of home assistance expenses feels insurmountable.

This challenge extends beyond the home to driving and child supervision. Some families ask children to “keep an eye” on a parent with dementia or to call for help if needed. The American Association of Caregiving Youth (<http://www.aacy.org>) reports >5.4 million children aged ≤18 provide assistance or care to a family member with a chronic illness, carrying profound emotional and developmental implications³⁵. One mother recently described her 17-year-old son’s summer spent happily providing full-time companionship for his 58-year-old father with AD. Yet when school resumed, leaving the father home alone, restlessness and unsafe behaviors began to emerge—a stark reminder that dementia care in working-age households creates safety scenarios with few good options and many hidden—or not so hidden—risks.

time they obtain medical documentation, it is often too late to retroactively claim medical leave or benefits. This pattern creates irreversible financial harm.

If possible, early legal, financial, and occupational guidance can be invaluable¹⁷. For example, in one case of ours, a university professor initially planned to “retire early,” unaware that doing so would forfeit his disability eligibility. After receiving clinical advice, he and his spouse consulted legal counsel and human resources, securing disability-derived income protection that would otherwise have been lost. This example underscores the urgent need for proactive education and advocacy—both for families and for institutional professionals, including human resource departments and benefits administrators.

Safety concerns and home environment challenges

Safety concerns are both pervasive and complex in YOD households. Early in the disease, working caregivers frequently employ surveillance-based monitoring, such as installing in-home cameras while the caregiver is at work. However, these strategies quickly reach their limits. When caregivers report that they must “watch from a camera,” we recognize this as a signal that the home environment may no longer be safe (see Box 1).

Driving represents another high-risk domain. The decision to relinquish driving privileges involves identity loss and social isolation, especially for adults in their 40s, 50s, or early 60s who have few peers undergoing similar restrictions. Unlike older adults, younger individuals rarely have social models or peer guidance for navigating this transition.

Safety risks are amplified in homes with minor children. The well parent may face untenable decisions—whether to leave sleeping children to search for a wandering spouse, to involve police, or to risk child protective investigations. Other risks include unsafe storage of medications, lighters, knives, or firearms, as well as impulsive or inappropriate behaviors stemming from behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) or related forms of dementia, which are more common and produce greater caregiver burden in YOD¹⁸. Such environments can endanger children and often necessitate early placement of the patient in residential care settings, not due to cognitive decline per se, but because the household dynamic becomes unsustainable.

These scenarios illustrate that safety planning in YOD is not limited to the patient but must encompass the entire household. Clinicians should assess risks dynamically, considering child safety, community resource engagement, and financial feasibility. The active engagement of a health care professional with social work or related training is critical, and care navigators can provide an invaluable lifeline for caregiver spouses who are usually working, parenting, and fulfilling other important obligations¹⁹.

A trifecta of social isolation across the family system

Families affected by YOD often experience a profound convergence that we call a “trifecta of social isolation,” encompassing the person with dementia, the care partner, and the children. There is little research on intimacy and sexuality in couples living with YOD, but the research that exists highlights important adverse consequences that resonate with our clinical experience²⁰. The person with dementia often faces exclusion from age-appropriate social and vocational activities⁶. Adult day health programs skew toward older adults, leaving younger participants feeling alienated²¹. For example, a family in our program shared that when they reached out to their local day program, they were told, without an intake evaluation, that their loved one would not be appropriate for the program, based in part on his young age (54 years old) and diagnosis of FTD. In fact, the 2020 Supporting Older Americans Act expanded the original act by stipulating that people of *any age* (specifically including people under age 60) with a dementia diagnosis have the right to have access to community-based programs through Area Agencies on Aging (<https://acl.gov/about-acl/authorizing-statutes/older-americans-act#:~:text=The%20Supporting%20Older%20Americans%20Act,Act%20by%20one%20additional%20year>).

Care partners encounter parallel challenges: caregiver support groups are dominated by retirees or adult children caring for parents, not by midlife spouses balancing work and parenting¹⁵. Care partners often reduce social interactions outside the home because of caregiving needs⁷. Children, in turn, carry a unique burden—coping with a parent’s cognitive decline while lacking peers with comparable experiences.

This isolation has measurable mental health consequences. In some families, adolescents experience depression or suicidality linked directly to parental illness^{13,22}. Young caregivers often reduce extracurricular involvement or decline college opportunities to remain at home, shaping their educational and professional trajectories²³.

Programs like *Lorenzo’s House* (<https://lorenzoshouse.org>) have emerged to address this gap by providing virtual peer-based and age-matched communities for youth as young as four years old. These models shift the focus from pathology to connection, reframing support as empowerment rather than counseling. Many families we have referred to this program have responded enthusiastically about its value to their quality of life, with parents specifically reporting behavioral changes in their children, including a feeling of acceptance and willingness to talk about their parents’ diagnosis rather than the embarrassment and shame that they previously expressed—all because they learned about the community of peers in which they are a member. Such initiatives exemplify the type of age-sensitive, family-wide engagement needed to mitigate the psychosocial toll

of YOD. Diana Shulla Cose, founder of Lorenzo's House, states that "Families living with a younger-onset diagnosis are too often misunderstood and under-resourced. There are many of us, but the resources are few and far between."

Systemic and workforce gaps

Despite increasing recognition of YOD, most health, social service, and workplace systems remain calibrated for older adults. Professionals—including clinicians, support organizations, legal and financial advisors, and human resource specialists—often lack training to recognize and respond to the distinctive needs of YOD families. Awareness of and proficiency with YOD is a well-recognized gap in primary care^{12,24}. Best practices for the diagnostic evaluation of anyone suspected of having dementia include obtaining the report of a knowledgeable informant who knows the patient well^{5,25,26}, but many primary care evaluations do not make room for, and sometimes explicitly exclude, informants, often citing privacy concerns.

Our program staff have worked with local residential care facilities to try to prepare them to care for residents with YOD and have learned that residential facility staff often struggle to understand the content of standard healthcare workforce educational materials because of educational, healthcare literacy, or cultural barriers. In working with multiple local facilities, our team has learned that, to prepare to care for a client with YOD, residential facility staff need to learn practical strategies and tips for working with clients who may be different in a variety of ways (not only including age but also potentially including specific types of symptoms more common in people with YOD) than the facility's typical clientele.

Elder law attorneys, for example, are traditionally positioned as planners for retirement-age clients. Individuals in their 40s and 50s rarely seek such counsel, leaving them without preemptive structures for decision-making and asset protection. Similarly, human resource departments are not routinely trained to recognize cognitive decline as a disability warranting accommodation. Initiatives from organizations like the Rosalynn Carter Institute for Caregivers (<https://rosalynncarter.org>), which educate human resource professionals on caregiver needs, represent promising but limited models.

Reframing YOD as a public health and workforce issue—not solely a neurological diagnosis—is essential. Training modules for healthcare and other professionals should emphasize early recognition, interdisciplinary coordination, and the family life-cycle context.

Implications for clinical practice, policy, and support interventions

Addressing YOD effectively requires a shift toward family-centered, lifespan-oriented care. Clinicians should screen for financial risk, household safety, and caregiver stress during initial evaluations, offering referrals to legal, occupational, and psychosocial resources. Social workers and care coordinators should maintain awareness of specialized organizations (e.g., the Alzheimer's Association, the Association for Frontotemporal Degeneration, the Lewy Body Dementia Association, CurePSP, the Rosalynn Carter Institute, and Lorenzo's House) that offer targeted support. Educational and support resources from organizations focused on YOD are limited, but more are emerging, including the Maryland-based Young-onset dementia Education and Support (<https://yessupport.org>), the Alzheimer's Foundation of America Teens program (<https://alzfdn.org/young-leaders-of-afa/afa-teens>), the UK-based Young Dementia Network (<https://www.youngdementianetwork.org>), and the UK-based Rare Dementia Support organization (<https://www.raredementiasupport.org>). We have referred many families to one or more of these organizations, and have received detailed feedback about the value of the support offered, which—in most cases—had not been available to them through less specialized resources locally.

Policy interventions are equally crucial. Mandating employer education about caregiver accommodations, funding respite programs for families with dependent children, and expanding insurance eligibility for family-

based support could significantly reduce the burden. One example of a national set of policy recommendations focused on YOD is the Australian Joint Solutions Young Onset Dementia Project, which recently released its comprehensive report and set of 90 recommendations aiming to describe a system of care and articulate an actionable 'roadmap of responsibilities' for various governmental agencies²⁷. We applaud this effort and hope to see more like it soon.

Finally, the field needs evidence to demonstrate the value of support interventions for people living with YOD. Syntheses of qualitative research indicate that, in the early symptomatic stage of YOD, many patients and care partners experience similar stressors, including intense negative emotions after the diagnosis, challenges navigating the patient's progressive symptoms, and feelings of loneliness and stigmatization^{12,14}, leading to recommendations for the development of dyadic interventions to promote psychological resilience^{11,28–31}. Our own qualitative research highlighted other psychosocial stressors, including effects on relationships, changing roles and responsibilities, planning for an uncertain future, and couple communication and relationship strain, along with themes related to adaptive coping strategies, including the need to process emotions and cultivate acceptance, promote a "new normalcy," efforts to preserve persons with YOD's independence and identity, collaborative and open communication, social support, meaning-making, humor and positivity, and lifestyle changes and self-care³². Importantly, couples with YOD vary in their preferences for what information and supports are delivered and how those are delivered, underscoring the need to tailor such programs specifically for each couple³³. This information-gathering phase has led to the development of a "Resilient Together for Dementia" protocol that will be examined in a prospective National Institute on Aging-funded clinical trial focused on YOD³⁴.

Conclusion

Families affected by young-onset dementia navigate an intricate landscape of financial strain, safety vulnerabilities, and social isolation, magnifying the psychosocial stressors experienced by all families living with dementia. These pressures extend far beyond medical management to encompass every dimension of family life. Effective intervention requires clinicians, support organizations, and policymakers to recognize YOD as a *family condition* rather than an individual diagnosis—one that demands inter-professional coordination, workplace reform, and age- and culturally-relevant psychosocial support.

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Received: 16 November 2025; Accepted: 27 May 2026;

Published online: 15 July 2026

References

- Rossor, M. N., Fox, N. C., Mummery, C. J., Schott, J. M. & Warren, J. D. The diagnosis of young-onset dementia. *Lancet Neurol.* **9**, 793–806 (2010).
- He, Q. et al. Global burden of young-onset dementia, from 1990 to 2021: an age-period-cohort analysis from the global burden of disease study 2021. *Transl. Psychiatry* **15**, 56 (2025).
- Draper, B. et al. Time to diagnosis in young-onset dementia and its determinants: the INSPIRED study. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **31**, 1217–1224 (2016).
- Burkinshaw, K., Tsourtos, G. & Cations, M. System and policy-level barriers and facilitators for timely and accurate diagnosis of young onset dementia. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **38**, e5859 (2023).
- Atri, A. et al. Alzheimer's Association clinical practice guideline for the Diagnostic Evaluation, Testing, Counseling, and Disclosure of Suspected Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders (DETeCD-ADRD): executive summary of recommendations for primary care. *Alzheimers Dement.* **21**, e14333 (2025).

6. Bayly, M. et al. Family carers' narratives of the financial consequences of young onset dementia. *Dementia (London)* **20**, 2708–2724 (2021).
7. Helvik, A. S. et al. Living with young-onset dementia in the family—a mixed method study. *Aging Ment. Health* **28**, 254–261 (2024).
8. Hicks, A. J. et al. Dementia Care Specialists' Perspectives of Diagnosis and Early Psychosocial Care: A Qualitative Analysis of Focus Groups in Two Large Academic Medical Centers. *J Aging Res.* **2025**, 3000496 (2025).
9. Kilty, C., Cahill, S., Foley, T. & Fox, S. Young onset dementia: implications for employment and finances. *Dementia (London)* **22**, 68–84 (2023).
10. Lew, Z. H., Ng, A. S. L. & Zhou, W. Young onset dementia informal caregiver experience in caregiving: a systematic review. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **81**, 6333–6346 (2025).
11. Loi Franzcp, P. H. et al. A mixed methods evaluation of the music and psychology and social connections (MAPS) pilot—a dyadic intervention for couples affected by young-onset dementia. *Dementia (London)* **23**, 1103–1125 (2024).
12. Millenaar, J. K. et al. The care needs and experiences with the use of services of people with young-onset dementia and their caregivers: a systematic review. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **31**, 1261–1276 (2016).
13. Poole, C. & Patterson, T. G. Experiences and needs of children who have a parent with young onset dementia: a meta-ethnographic review. *Clin. Gerontol.* **45**, 750–762 (2022).
14. Spreadbury, J. H. & Kipps, C. Measuring younger onset dementia: what the qualitative literature reveals about the 'lived experience' for patients and caregivers. *Dementia (London)* **18**, 579–598 (2019).
15. Zhang, B. et al. Supportive care needs of individuals with young-onset dementia: a systematic review and qualitative meta-synthesis. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* **106**, 858–875 (2025).
16. Galvin, J. E., Howard, D. H., Denny, S. S., Dickinson, S. & Tatton, N. The social and economic burden of frontotemporal degeneration. *Neurology* **89**, 2049–2056 (2017).
17. Freedman, D. M. Younger-onset alzheimer's disease: key legal and financial issues for the aging life care manager. *J. Aging Life Care* **26**, 9–12 (2017).
18. Velayudhan, L. Role of behavioral problems in carer burden in young-onset dementia. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* **36**, 440–443 (2024).
19. Dickerson, B. C., Pilcher-Warren, J. & Brandt, K. D. Frontotemporal degeneration: explaining the importance of differential diagnosis. *J. Aging Life Care* **26**, 3–8 (2017).
20. Holdsworth, K. & McCabe, M. The impact of younger-onset dementia on relationships, intimacy, and sexuality in midlife couples: a systematic review. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* **30**, 15–29 (2018).
21. Mayrhofer, A., Mathie, E., McKeown, J., Bunn, F. & Goodman, C. Age-appropriate services for people diagnosed with young onset dementia (YOD): a systematic review. *Aging Ment. Health* **22**, 927–935 (2018).
22. Grundberg, A., Sandberg, J. & Craftman, A. G. Childrens' and young adults' perspectives of having a parent with dementia diagnosis: a scoping review. *Dementia (London)* **20**, 2933–2956 (2021).
23. Sobers, T. et al. A systematic review of the needs of children and young people of a parent diagnosed with young-onset-dementia: informing a nursing intervention. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **82**, 4733–4749 (2025).
24. O'Malley, M. et al. Receiving a diagnosis of young onset dementia: Evidence-based statements to inform best practice. *Dementia (London)* **20**, 1745–1771 (2021).
25. Ismail, Z. et al. Recommendations of the 5th Canadian Consensus Conference on the diagnosis and treatment of dementia. *Alzheimers Dement.* **16**, 1182–1195 (2020).
26. Frisoni, G. B. et al. European intersocietal recommendations for the biomarker-based diagnosis of neurocognitive disorders. *Lancet Neurol.* **23**, 302–312 (2024).
27. Blackwood, A., Davison, S. & Morkham, B. *Time won't wait... The Joint Solutions Young Onset Dementia Project Final Report*. (Young People In Nursing Homes National Alliance, 2025).
28. Bannon, S. et al. In it together: a qualitative meta-synthesis of common and unique psychosocial stressors and adaptive coping strategies of persons with young-onset dementia and their caregivers. *Gerontologist* **62**, e123–e139 (2020).
29. Whitlatch, C. J., Judge, K., Zarit, S. H. & Femia, E. Dyadic intervention for family caregivers and care receivers in early-stage dementia. *Gerontologist* **46**, 688–694 (2006).
30. Huo, M. et al. Dyadic ambivalence in couples managing early-stage Alzheimer's disease: linking behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia to life satisfaction. *J. Gerontol. B Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.* **79**, gbae130 (2024).
31. Van't Leven, N. et al. Dyadic interventions for community-dwelling people with dementia and their family caregivers: a systematic review. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* **25**, 1581–1603 (2013).
32. Bannon, S. M. et al. Thematic analysis of dyadic coping in couples with young-onset dementia. *JAMA Netw. Open* **4**, e216111 (2021).
33. Bannon, S. M. et al. Resilient together for dementia: a qualitative study of couples' treatment preferences to address distress early after diagnosis. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* **105**, 808–824 (2025).
34. McCage, S. et al. A live video resiliency dyadic intervention for persons with dementia and their care-partners early after diagnosis: protocol for open pilot of resilient together for dementia. *JMIR Res. Protoc.* **14**, e60382 (2025).
35. Lam, L. T. & Lam, M. K. The prevalence of caregiving among young people. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **21**, 621 (2024).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the many patients and families with whom we have worked in clinical practice, research, and support programs. We have learned a tremendous amount of practical information from your sharing of your journeys with us, and we do our best to use that information to benefit others. We also thank Ana-Maria Vranceanu, PhD, and her team for their partnership in developing and studying the Resilient Together ADRD program. This work was supported by research grants from the National Institute on Aging, including R01 AG061968 and RF1 AG096686.

Author contributions

K.D.B. and B.C.D. jointly drafted and edited this paper.

Competing interests

B.C.D. has received personal compensation for consulting activities with Acadia, Arkuda, Biogen, Cervomed, Eisai, Lantheus, Lilly, Merck, Novo Nordisk, and Quanterix and publishing royalties from Cambridge University Press, Elsevier, Oxford University Press, Springer, and Up-to-Date. B.C.D. is an Associate Editor for *npj Dementia* but was not involved in the journal's review of, or decisions related to, this manuscript. K.D.B. declares no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44400-026-00110-x>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Katherine D. Brandt.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2026